

Staff: CHRISTIE JOHN JACOB

Subject : BT362(A) - Sustainable Energy Process-A Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Jsers Completed : 14 Over					
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	12	85.71%	213
	1. Subject Kilowicage	Good	14.13	1	7.14%	14.1
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	7.14%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	12	85.71%	174
	2. Clarky and Charles and Charles Expanded	Good	11.81	1	7.14%	11.8
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	7.14%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	12	85.71%	90
	3. reactions withingness to resp	Good	5.71	1	7.14%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	7.14%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	13	92.86%	76.0
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	7.14%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	13	92.86%	52
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	7.14%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	12	85.71%	111
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	7.14%	6.34
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	7.14%	3.16
	2.61-6	Just Right	4.75	13	92.86%	61.7
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.14%	1.4
	2 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Yes	6.88	11	78.57%	75.6
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	1	7.14%	3.89
		No	0.74	1	7.14%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	7.14%	1.78
	4 D 1 64 1	Pleasant	6.75	12	85.71%	81
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	7.14%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.14%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	13	92.86%	149.
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	7.14%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	12	85.71%	135
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	1	7.14%	9.03
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	7.14%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

THIRWANNAMIHED.



Staff: MENON LAKSHMI RAJAN

Subject: CE482(A) - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (ND)

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

			Users		Total
Question	Option	Mark	Opted	Opted %	Mark
1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	12	85.71%	213
	Good	14.13	2	14.29%	28.26
	Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
	Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
	Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	13	92.86%	188.5
2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	I	7.14%	11.81
	Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
	Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
	Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
2 Toscher Williames to Hale	Excellent	7.50	12	85.71%	90
5. reachers winnigness to help	Good	5.71	2	14.29%	11.42
	Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
	Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
	Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers		5.85	13	92.86%	76.05
		3.66	0	0%	0
	More than 25		0	0%	0
	Can't Judge		1		1.64
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only			13	92.86%	52
			0	0%	0
			1	7.14%	1.65
			_		92.5
Teachers ability to organize lecture	The Control of the Control		_		25.36
			0	_	0
	The same of the sa		0	_	0
			_	_	61.75
2. Speed of presentation				_	1.34
					0
			2000		82.56
3. Does the teacher encourage questioning			_		7.78
					0
			_		0
			_		94.5
4. Behavior of the teacher				_	0
			_		0
			-	1000000	0
4 25 S S S S S S S	The state of the s		-		161
5. Sincerity of the teacher				2000	0
			_		0
					0
					135
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness					18.06
			_		_
	Poor	-	_	_	0
	POOF	1.56	0	0%	0
	Subject Knowledge Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations Teachers Willingness to Help 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	1. Subject Knowledge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge 3. Teachers Willingness to Help Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers More than 25 Can't Judge 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only Yes Can't Judge 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture Excellent Satisfactory Inadequate Can't Judge 2. Speed of presentation Just Right Too Fast Can't Judge 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning Some Times No Can't Judge 4. Behavior of the teacher Indifferent Unpleasant Can't Judge 5. Sincerity of the teacher No Sincere Unable to Judge Blank Excellent Excellent Sincere Unable to Judge Blank Excellent Excellent Excellent Sincere Unable to Judge Blank Excellent Excellent Excellent Sincere Unable to Judge Blank Excellent E	1. Subject Knowledge	Subject Knowledge	Excellent

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Special Remarks

• 1. Unwanted Interruption in between presenting, if "20m" is allotted for presenting and a separate "10m" for Q&A Session, that should be given. Implementing everything from scratch and what we get after that is, "What is your contribution?" 2. Please don't add your points thinking that everything in the world is implemented with Matlab 3. Very unpleasant behaviour every time someone is presenting. He can't see anything in the slide even if it's filled on a slide

Head of The Department

A SHIRM BULL THE WAY OF THE STATE OF THE SHIP OF THE S





Staff: KAVITHA.S

Subject : CE488(A) - DISASTER MANAGEMENT-A (ND)

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Users Completed: 34

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 93.24

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	73.53%	443.75
		Good	14.13	8	23.53%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.94%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	73.53%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	7	20.59%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	1	2.94%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.94%	4.09
	2. Touches Williams to Hole	Excellent	7.50	25	73.53%	187.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	8	23.53%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.94%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	31	91.18%	181.35
		10 to 25	3.66	2	5.88%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	2.94%	1.64
	a wall at a large state of the	No	4.00	30	88.24%	120
	Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	5.88%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	5.88%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	79.41%	249.75
-		Satisfactory	6.34	4	11.76%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	8.82%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	31	91.18%	147.25
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.94%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	5.88%	2.8
	2 B	Yes	6.88	30	88.24%	206.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	5.88%	7.78
	N.	No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	5.88%	3.56
	I DI COLLEGE	Pleasant	6.75	31	91.18%	209.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2.94%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.88%	3.88
		Sincere	11.50	31	91.18%	356.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.94%	1.33
	×	Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	2	5.88%	8.06
		Excellent	11.25	28	82.35%	315
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	5	14.71%	45.15
	⊕	Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.94%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.GEORGE M JOSEPH

Subject: EC492 - PROJECT

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Users Completed: 59

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 91.84

tion	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	44	74.58%	781
		Good	14.13	12	20.34%	169.50
		Fair	10.28	2	3.39%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	74.58%	638
- 1	2. Clarty and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	18.64%	129.91
- 1		Fair	8.11	2	3.39%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.39%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	44	74.58%	330
	3. reactions withingness to freip	Good	5.71	11	18.64%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	3	5.08%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
Ī	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	51	86.44%	298.3
		10 to 25	3.66	3	5.08%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	8.47%	8.2
1	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	49	83.05%	196
		Yes	0.67	4	6.78%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	10.17%	9.9
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	46	77.97%	425.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	15.25%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.08%	9.48
1	a management of the contract o	Just Right	4.75	52	88.14%	247
	Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.08%	4.02
- 1		Can't Judge	1.40	4	6.78%	5.6
1		Yes	6.88	49	83.05%	337.12
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.17%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	6.78%	7.12
- 1	4 MONOTORESCONO CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	Pleasant	6.75	51	86.44%	344.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.08%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	8.47%	9.7
t		Sincere	11.50	52	88.14%	598
- 1	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.39%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.69%	5.06
- 1		Blank	4.03	4	6.78%	16.12
1		Excellent	11.25	45	76.27%	506.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	9	15.25%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	3	5.08%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
- 1		1 001	1.30	U	070	U

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.RENJITH R J

Subject : EC492 - PROJECT

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Users Completed: 59

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 88.11

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	42	71.19%	745.5
		Good	14.13	13	22.03%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	3	5.08%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	40	67.8%	580
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	23.73%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	2	3.39%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.39%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	65%	292.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	13	21.67%	74.23
		Fair	4.04	3	5%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	3	5%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.33%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	49	83.05%	286.65
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.47%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	6.78%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	79.66%	188
		Yes	0.67	5	8.47%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	11.86%	11.55
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	41	67.21%	379.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	21.31%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	3	4.92%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.56%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	49	83.05%	232.75
		Too Fast	1.34	4	6.78%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	10.17%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	48	80%	330.24
	5. Does the teacher checking questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	8.33%	19.45
		No	0.74	2	3.33%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	8.33%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	77.97%	310.5
	7. Deliavior of the leading	Indifferent	2.78	5	8.47%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	6.78%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	6.78%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	83.33%	575
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	6.67%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	3	5%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	40	66.67%	450
	The state of the s	Good	9.03	13	21.67%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	2	3.33%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	3	5%	4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.33%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

· not satisfied

• 1. Unwanted Interruption in between presenting, it "20m" is allotted for presenting and a separate "10m" for Q&A Session, that should be given. Implementing everything from scratch and what we get after that is, "What is your contribution?" 2. Please don't add your points thinking that everything in the world is implemented with Matlab 3 Very unpleasant behaviour every time someone is presenting. He can't see anything in the slide even if it's filled on a slide

THIRTUNANAY

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Framering





Staff: BINDU V

Subject: EC492 - PROJECT

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	77.97%	816.5
		Good	14.13	12	20.34%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	1	1.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	45	76.27%	652.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	22.03%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7,50	46	77.97%	345
	2. Teledicis Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	11	18.64%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	2	3.39%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	53	89.83%	310.05
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.47%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	1.69%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	88.14%	208
		Yes	0.67	2	3.39%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	8.47%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	49	83.05%	453.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	13.56%	50.72
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.39%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	53	89.83%	251.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.39%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	6.78%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	52	88.14%	357.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	8.47%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.39%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	54	91.53%	364.5
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.39%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.08%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	55	93.22%	632.5
	or or the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.08%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	47	79.66%	528.75
	o. O totali reaching enconvences	Good	9.03	11	18.64%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.69%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: SALIM PAUL

Subject : EC492 - PROJECT

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Users Completed: 59

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 91.9

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	44	74.58%	781
		Good	14.13	13	22.03%	183.6
	, i	Fair	10.28	2	3.39%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	74.58%	638
	2. Clarity and Oliderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	22.03%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	1.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	43	72.88%	322.5
	3. Teachers winningness to Help	Good	5.71	11	18.64%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	4	6.78%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	1.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	47	79.66%	274.95
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.47%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.39%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	8.47%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	86.44%	204
		Yes	0.67	3	5.08%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	8.47%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	74.58%	407
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	20.34%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.39%	6.32
	2 51-6	Just Right	4.75	52	88.14%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.39%	2.68
	· ·	Can't Judge	1.40	5	8.47%	7
	2 Describertural and a second second	Yes	6.88	48	81.36%	330.24
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.17%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	8.47%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	50	84.75%	337.5
	4. Benavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	6.78%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	8.47%	9.7
	E Circuit Colored	Sincere	11.50	54	91.53%	621
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.39%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.39%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.69%	4.03
	A COUNTY IN THE STATE OF THE ST	Excellent	11.25	42	71.19%	472.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	18.64%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	5	8.47%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.69%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: SAJEER M

Subject : EC404 - ADVANCED COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS(ACS)

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	43	72.88%	763.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	16	27.12%	226.0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
-		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	74.58%	638
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	23.73%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	40	67.8%	300
	3. reactions withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	18	30.51%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	1	1.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	53	89.83%	310.05
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	8.47%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	1.69%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	56	94.92%	224
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.08%	4.95
2	1 Tanahan akilitu ta anna ina lastana	Excellent	9.25	48	81.36%	444
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	15.25%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.39%	6.32
	20 10	Just Right	4.75	54	91.53%	256.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.39%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.08%	4.2
	2 B	Yes	6.88	50	84.75%	344
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	11.86%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	1.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.69%	1.78
	A D.L. i Ed L.	Pleasant	6.75	53	89.83%	357.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.08%	8.34
-		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.08%	5.82
	6.6	Sincere	11.50	58	98.31%	667
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.69%	5.06
-		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	45	76.27%	506.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	20.34%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	2	3.39%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

THIRITIANANTH





Staff: BINDU V

Subject: EC466 - CYBER SECURITY (CS) Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Users Completed: 59

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 94.06

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	77.97%	816.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	11	18.64%	155.4
		Fair	10.28	2	3.39%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	45	76.27%	652.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	18.64%	129.9
		Fair	8.11	3	5.08%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	45	76.27%	337.5
	3. reactions withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	11	18.64%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	3	5.08%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	54	91.53%	315.9
		10 to 25	3.66	4	6.78%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	1.69%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	88.14%	208
		Yes	0.67	3	5.08%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	6.78%	6.6
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	81.36%	444
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	16.95%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.69%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	55	93.22%	261.2
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.39%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.39%	2.8
	15 1 1	Yes	6.88	50	84.75%	344
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.17%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	1.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.39%	3.56
	151	Pleasant	6.75	54	91.53%	364.5
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.39%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.39%	3.88
		Sincere	11.50	55	93.22%	632.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.39%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.69%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	44	74.58%	495
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	22.03%	117.3
		Fair		13	1.69%	-
		Poor	6.46	0	0%	6.46
		FOOI	1.56	U	0%	U

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.SMITHA P S

Subject: EC402 - NANOELECTRONICS (NANO) Session Name: Teacher Evaluation even 2021

Session Id: TE-SN626-Jun-2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K17 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Completed: 59				Overall Scor	_
ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	69.49%	727.75
		Good	14.13	13	22.03%	183.69
	V	Fair	10.28	4	6.78%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	1.69%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	66.1%	565.5
	2. Clarity and Charlette and Charlette Expansions	Good	11.81	16	27.12%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	3	5.08%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	62.71%	277.5
	5. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	16	27.12%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	5	8.47%	20.2
	X	Poor	1.13	1	1.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	52	88.14%	304.2
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.47%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.39%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	55	93.22%	220
		Yes	0.67	1	1.69%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.08%	4.95
2	1 T 1 130 7	Excellent	9.25	41	69.49%	379.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	27.12%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.69%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.69%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	54	91.53%	256.5
		Too Fast	1.34	3	5.08%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.39%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	47	79.66%	323.36
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	18.64%	42.79
		No	0.74	1	1.69%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	151 : 61 . 1	Pleasant	6.75	51	86.44%	344.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.08%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	5.08%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.39%	3.88
		Sincere	11.50	55	93.22%	632.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	6.78%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	38	64.41%	427.5
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	15	25.42%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	5	8.47%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	1.69%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

good

Special Remarks

good

Kind and good personality, always start with a little char to make sure everything is going well.

CULLEGE OF